



# Legislative Report: Fiscal Year 2024-25

California Department of Cannabis Control

Published March 1, 2026



## About This Report

This report fulfills the Department of Cannabis Control’s (DCC) annual reporting requirements to the Legislature and summarizes departmental activities from the prior fiscal year. DCC began submitting this report on March 1, 2023, and now does so annually to provide transparency into its operations and regulatory work.

The report also includes updated information on the Equity Fee Waiver and Deferral Program for the reporting period.

In addition, the report incorporates DCC’s required responses under the Budget Act of 2022 related to the Cannabis Local Jurisdiction Retail Access Grant Program, which directs DCC to report on program implementation and outcomes through 2026.



**GAVIN NEWSOM**

Governor

**TOMIQUIA MOSS**

Secretary of the Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

**CLINT KELLUM**

Director of the California Department of Cannabis Control



# Contents

Legislative Report: Fiscal Year 2024-25.....	1
About This Report .....	2
Contents.....	3
About the Department of Cannabis Control.....	6
DCC Divisions .....	6
Administration .....	6
Compliance.....	6
Enforcement.....	6
Equity and Inclusion .....	6
Government Affairs.....	7
Information Technology Services.....	7
Legal Affairs .....	7
Licensing .....	7
Policy and Research.....	7
Public Affairs .....	7
Legislative Report: Fiscal Year 2024-25.....	8
DCC Funding.....	8
Funds allocated and spent by DCC .....	8
Licensing .....	8
Number of state licenses issued, renewed, denied, suspended, and revoked	8
Average time for processing state license applications .....	8
Appeals from the denial of state licenses or other disciplinary actions taken	
by DCC and the average time spent on these appeals .....	9
Number of licenses on which DCC-imposed conditions and the categories of	
conditions imposed on licenses .....	9
Compliance Within the Licensed Market.....	10
Complaints submitted by citizens or representatives of cities or counties	
regarding licensees .....	10
Penalties, fines, and other disciplinary actions taken by DCC.....	10



Enforcement Against the Unlicensed Market.....	11
Number and type of enforcement activities conducted by DCC .....	11
Other Activity .....	12
Petitions for regulatory relief or rulemaking changes received by DCC from licensees requesting modifications of the enforcement of rules under this division .....	12
Interstate cannabis agreements, including information regarding the terms and conditions of each agreement, the activities undertaken by state agencies to implement the agreement, and the effects of the agreement on California’s cannabis industry.....	12
Licensees awarded an equity fee waiver or deferral, and the amount of money spent. ....	12
Cannabis Retail Access Grant Program.....	14
Grant Funding.....	14
Phase I Awards.....	14
Phase II Awards.....	15
Fund Usage .....	15
How local jurisdictions plan to utilize the funds.....	15
Progress of local cannabis retailer licensing programs and the number of retailers and equity retailers permitted in the local jurisdiction .....	16
Appendix .....	21
Exhibit 1.....	22
Cultivation License Types .....	22
Manufacturing License Types.....	23
Distribution License Types .....	24
Retail License Types.....	24
Additional Cannabis License Types .....	24
Total All License Types .....	25
Exhibit 2 .....	26
Cultivation License Types .....	26
Manufacturing License Types.....	26
Distribution License Types .....	27
Retail License Types.....	27



Additional License Types ..... 27  
Exhibit 3 ..... 28  
    Number of Complaints by County ..... 28  
Exhibit 4 ..... 30  
    Number of Complaints by City ..... 30  
Exhibit 5 ..... 43  
    Phase II Awards..... 43



# About the Department of Cannabis Control

The California Department of Cannabis Control (DCC) licenses and regulates commercial cannabis activity within California. DCC sets standards for:

- Growing of cannabis plants
- Manufacture of cannabis products
- Transportation and tracking of cannabis goods throughout the state
- Sale of cannabis goods
- Events where cannabis is sold or used
- Labeling of cannabis goods

DCC strives for California to have a safe, sustainable and equitable cannabis market that serves as an example for the world.

## DCC Divisions

### Administration

Core administrative and employee services supporting DCC operations, including human resources, budgeting and fiscal management, contracts and procurement, and facilities and fleet management; primary liaison with state control agencies.

### Compliance

Regulatory oversight for licensed cannabis activity, including inspections, investigations, complaint response, licensee support, recalls, remediation and destruction of failed products, and administration of the track-and-trace system.

### Enforcement

Criminal investigation and enforcement of illegal commercial cannabis activity. Frequent collaboration with local, state, and federal partners to disrupt unlicensed operations and protect public safety, workers and natural resources.

### Equity and Inclusion

Development and administration of equity programs, policies, and outreach. Collaboration with local jurisdictions, state agencies, and interested parties to improve outcomes for individuals and communities harmed by cannabis criminalization.



## **Government Affairs**

Legislative engagement and policy advocacy at the local, state, and federal levels as the primary liaison to elected officials. Engagement with interested parties on statutory policy initiatives.

## **Information Technology Services**

Department-wide IT governance and service delivery, including security, infrastructure, application development, project management, and end-user support. Development of technology solutions that improve efficiency and public access to information.

## **Legal Affairs**

Legal counsel and services for DCC programs, including administrative actions, research, regulatory drafting, litigation coordination, Public Records Act responses, and as liaison with the Attorney General's Office.

## **Licensing**

Application review and license lifecycle management. Technical assistance to applicants and licensees. Coordination with compliance and enforcement divisions. Enhanced support for equity applicants and interagency licensing coordination.

## **Policy and Research**

Evaluation of cannabis policies and regulatory effectiveness. Development of policy and regulatory recommendations; monitoring of state, federal, and local policy trends. Research and data analysis to inform decision-making.

## **Public Affairs**

Internal and external communications, media relations, public engagement, and public outreach. Management of the DCC's website, social media, educational resources, and Cannabis Advisory Committee support.



# Legislative Report: Fiscal Year 2024-25

This report presents information on DCC’s activities for the prior fiscal year, July 1, 2023, through June 30, 2024.

## DCC Funding

### Funds allocated and spent by DCC

DCC allocated \$157,440,000 for cannabis licensing, enforcement, and administration and expended \$146,562,000.

## Licensing

### Number of state licenses issued, renewed, denied, suspended, and revoked

- Issued: 849 licenses
- Renewed: 7,225 licenses
- Denied: 97 applications
- Suspended: 192 licenses
- Revoked: 79 licenses

Additional details are included in **Exhibit 1**.

### Average time for processing state license applications

- Cultivation Licenses: 327 days
- Manufacturing Licenses: 369 days
- Distribution Licenses: 245 days
- Testing Laboratory Licenses: 703 days
- Retailer Licenses: 177 days
- Microbusiness Licenses: 206 days
- Event Organizer Licenses: 129 days
- Temporary Cannabis Event Licenses: 66 days

Additional details are included in **Exhibit 2**.

The average processing time for issued licenses increased by 28 days, from 259 days during FY 2023/24 to 287 during FY 2024/25. This increase is largely due to DCC’s transition of long-standing provisional licenses into annual licensure—particularly in jurisdictions like Los Angeles and Mendocino. Many of the annual license applications associated with these provisional licenses had been in the



application review process for several years, and completing their conversion increased overall average processing times.

In general, the average times provided above are impacted by several external and internal factors, including, but not limited to, delays in responding to DCC inquiries by applicants missing necessary documentation to meet annual license application requirements, verifying the accuracy of records submitted by applicants, and correspondence with other local and state entities to ensure compliance of statutory and regulatory requirements or enforcement activities. Average licensing processing times are also affected by applicant responsiveness; many applicants do not produce all required documentation in a timely manner, creating significant delays in the application process. These factors, as well as a host of other factors, can impact the time it takes to approve a license.

Testing laboratory applications are a notable outlier given the complexity of the license review process. Testing laboratories are required to submit complete standard operating procedures and method validations that meet regulatory testing standards.

### **Appeals from the denial of state licenses or other disciplinary actions taken by DCC and the average time spent on these appeals**

- Appeals from the denial of a state license: 14
- Appeals from other disciplinary actions: 36

Average time spent on appeals: Approximately 30 hours of DCC staff time was spent on each appeal.

### **Number of licenses on which DCC-imposed conditions and the categories of conditions imposed on licenses**

DCC imposed conditions on two licensees. One licensee received a set of event-specific conditions related to public health and safety, including restrictions on product sales and consumption, age-verification requirements, maintaining secure inventory, and adhering to applicable local permit conditions. The other licensee was required to limit its commercial cannabis activity to DCC-approved businesses and to provide compliance reports through a third-party monitor.



## Compliance Within the Licensed Market

### **Complaints submitted by citizens or representatives of cities or counties regarding licensees**

DCC received 15,195 complaints statewide.

A breakdown of complaints by county is included in **Exhibit 3** and by city in **Exhibit 4**.

### **Penalties, fines, and other disciplinary actions taken by DCC**

DCC imposes penalties and fines for licensee noncompliance using a progressive approach to discipline.

During the annual reporting period, DCC issued the following:

- Letter of Warning: 29
- Notice to Comply: 2,214
- Administrative Citation: 88 with penalty amounts totaling \$5,495,829
- Embargoes: 1,357
- Voluntary Condemnation and Destructions: 273
- Mandatory Recall: 25

A **Letter of Warning** is issued to a licensee when DCC has direct or indirect evidence that the licensee is violating statute or the regulations. The document is used to warn licensees that they will face disciplinary action if they continue with activities that are in violation with statute or regulation. DCC encourages licensee compliance through education and outreach.

A **Notice to Comply** is issued to the licensee to address violations identified during an inspection or investigation. These notices can require that a licensee provide DCC with a written corrective action plan to address violations, and DCC develops a timeline for which a licensee must correct any identified violations. If this timeline is not met, DCC may take disciplinary action.

An **Administrative Citation** is issued to notice and document a violation and can contain monetary fines and/or abatement orders. The Administrative Citation can be used independently or in conjunction with an embargo, suspension, or other disciplinary or enforcement action. Citations are generally used as part of the progressive discipline process, in cases where the licensee has been unsuccessful in correcting identified deficiencies within the allotted time frame required in a Notice to Comply. However, DCC may move directly to a citation depending on the severity of a violation.



An **Embargo** is a tool used to prevent the movement or disposal of cannabis and cannabis products while an investigation is underway. At the point an embargo is placed, DCC only needs to have probable cause to believe the cannabis or cannabis products placed under embargo are adulterated or misbranded or the sale would otherwise be in violation of the Act or the regulations.

A **Voluntary Condemnation and Destruction** is when the licensee or owner of the cannabis or cannabis product (product owner) voluntarily chooses to destroy cannabis and/or cannabis product subject to an embargo.

A **Mandatory Recall** is when the licensee must immediately remove cannabis or cannabis product subject to the Mandatory Recall from the commercial supply chain. DCC posts recall information on its website and sends a consumer advisory to licensees and consumers.

## Enforcement Against the Unlicensed Market

### Number and type of enforcement activities conducted by DCC

Since DCC consolidated in July 2021, our Enforcement Division has seized over \$2.6 billion worth of product from the illegal marketplace and has significantly expanded law enforcement actions. During fiscal year 2024–25, DCC’s Law Enforcement Division served search warrants at 264 locations statewide. Of these operations, 156 were led by DCC, and the remaining 108 were in support of local and state law enforcement partners.

The search warrants addressed a range of case types: 104 indoor cultivation sites, 73 dispensary or storefront investigations, 54 outdoor cultivation sites, 12 delivery operations, 12 distribution cases, 1 manufacturing site, 1 volatile extraction laboratory, and 7 classified as “other.”

As a result of these operations, 234,924 pounds of untested cannabis product were seized, with an estimated retail value of approximately \$424 million. In addition, 264,784 cannabis plants were eradicated, 173 arrests were made, \$1,391,344 in assets were seized, and 112 firearms were recovered. Of the locations investigated, 86 were red tagged by local code enforcement agencies due to public health and safety issues on site, and 44 were found to contain toxic or illegal substances.

A total of 66 of these operations were conducted as part of the Unified Cannabis Enforcement Task Force (UCETF), a coordinated, multi-agency effort to disrupt and dismantle the illegal cannabis market. DCC’s operations were supported by numerous local, state, and federal partners.



Additionally, DCC's Compliance Division assisted in the service of 182 search warrants to support local or state law enforcement addressing primarily unlicensed cultivation activity. These actions resulted in the seizure of 93,018 pounds of cannabis product and 313,981 plants, valued at approximately \$412,514,074, as well as 42 arrests and DCC's issuance of 15 administrative citations.

## Other Activity

### **Petitions for regulatory relief or rulemaking changes received by DCC from licensees requesting modifications of the enforcement of rules under this division**

DCC received no petitions pursuant to [Government Code section 11340.6](#)

### **Interstate cannabis agreements, including information regarding the terms and conditions of each agreement, the activities undertaken by state agencies to implement the agreement, and the effects of the agreement on California's cannabis industry**

DCC has not entered into any interstate cannabis agreements pursuant to [Chapter 25 of the Business and Professions Code](#).

### **Licensees awarded an equity fee waiver or deferral, and the amount of money spent.**

Equity businesses in California may be eligible for a license fee waiver or deferral from DCC if they meet certain criteria. To be eligible, individuals must satisfy the statewide equity criteria, which allows businesses to qualify through cannabis convictions or arrests, household income, or by living in an area disproportionately impacted by the War on Drugs. Applicants may also be eligible if they are locally verified as an equity business and attest that they too satisfy the criteria set forth in the statewide definition.

Equity fee waivers became available to applicants and licensees in January 2022, and fee deferrals became available in January 2023. Fee waiver funding was exhausted in February 2024. Fee deferrals are still available.

During fiscal year 2024-25, DCC approved:

- 276 fee waivers
- 620 fee deferrals



Please note that while funding for fee waivers has been exhausted, eligible equity businesses may continue to apply for fee waivers to obtain eligibility for state tax credit programs administered by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration and Franchise Tax Board.



# Cannabis Retail Access Grant Program

Nearly two-thirds of California cities and counties do not allow the establishment of any regulated cannabis retailers within their jurisdictions. This limits consumer access to regulated and tested cannabis. To address this, the 2022 Budget Act allocated \$20 million in funding to provide local governments with resources to develop and implement cannabis retail permitting programs.

This section summarizes required reporting on the Cannabis Retail Access Grant Program, including grant allocations, local use of funds, progress in retail licensing programs, and the presence of equity licensee retailers.

## Grant Funding

### Phase I Awards

In Phase I of the Retail Access Grant Program, DCC made up to \$10 million available and awarded \$4.15 million in funding to 18 local jurisdictions to establish local retail licensing programs. Of the 18 jurisdictions, 14 selected for awards proposed to also develop equity programs and were awarded \$870,000 as bonus funding to be used for the benefit of equity applicants and licensees.

However, six jurisdictions have withdrawn from the program, as described below, reducing the total amount awarded to \$1.35 million.

Additional detail on awards is included in **Exhibit 5**.

### Jurisdictions that have withdrawn from the grant program

Initially, four jurisdictions withdrew from the grant program after receiving Phase I awards:

- **City of Buena Park** – the City Council indicated that they wanted to focus on other local priorities
- **City of Huntington Beach** – the City Council voted 4-3 against adoption of the draft resolution to accept the award
- **City of Lodi** – the City Council indicated they were pursuing other priorities and did not plan to move forward with a cannabis retail program
- **City of Avenal** – DCC was not able to obtain necessary grant contract documents

Two additional jurisdictions withdrew from the grant program in 2025:



- **City of Calabasas** - In January 2025, the City of Calabasas informed DCC that they would not be moving forward with establishing a local cannabis retailer licensing program due to community opposition toward cannabis retail
- **City of Claremont** - In July 2025, the Claremont City Council considered a local ordinance to regulate cannabis retail businesses, but decided not to approve the ordinance, and continues to prohibit cannabis business activity

## Phase II Awards

Phase II of this grant program would have awarded remaining grant funding to cities and counties based on the actual number of retail and equity retail permits issued. However, the Budget Act of 2024, Item 1115-495, reverted all uncommitted grant program funding back to the General Fund (\$16.47 million).

Only one Phase II application was received prior to the reversion of funds. DCC awarded \$300,000 to the City of Healdsburg in Phase II for the issuance of two retail licenses. With the reversion of funding, no additional funding is available to award, and no additional applications will be accepted.

## Fund Usage

### How local jurisdictions plan to utilize the funds

Phase I awards can be used to draft and adopt ordinances to allow the establishment of cannabis retail businesses within the jurisdiction, hire staff and contractors, hold community outreach and engagement events, survey local populations, form stakeholder workgroups or technical advisory committees, conduct economic studies and environmental reviews, develop application forms and online application portals, and process and issue cannabis retail licenses.

Equity funding awarded in Phase I can be used to conduct equity assessments, establish local cannabis equity programs, develop infrastructure to support and prioritize equity applicants, provide financial assistance to equity applicants and licensees in the form of fee waivers and deferrals, provide translation services, and provide technical assistance, such as legal and financial guidance assistance, with local and state applications and compliance training.



## Progress of local cannabis retailer licensing programs and the number of retailers and equity retailers permitted in the local jurisdiction

### City of Bell Gardens

- **Phase I award:** \$150,000
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** Program established in June 2023; jurisdiction adopted an ordinance adding licensing and permit requirements for cannabis retailers; established application process and trained staff to implement licensing review measures
- **Status of cannabis retail:** Storefront retailers are now allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** three active retailers; 4 additional permits are under review
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** N/A

### City of Calabasas

- **Phase I award:** \$125,000
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** The jurisdiction conducted a community survey about cannabis retail, and the City Council chose not to move forward with establishing a retailer licensing program based on the survey results and community opposition; unused grant funding will be returned
- **Status of cannabis retail:** Claremont City Council considered a local ordinance to regulate cannabis retail businesses, but decided not to approve the ordinance, and continues to prohibit cannabis business activity; unused grant funding will be returned
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** N/A

### City of Claremont

- **Phase I award:** \$150,000 + \$45,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** anticipate establishing program September 2025
- **Status of cannabis retail:** prohibited; ordinance drafted to allow cannabis retail
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none



## City of Corcoran

- **Phase I award:** \$125,000 + \$30,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established March 11, 2025; jurisdiction developed and implemented the necessary retail cannabis license and permitting processes to begin accepting applications for the establishment and operation of a retail cannabis business; jurisdiction published application forms for Retail Cannabis Business License Registration, associated Employee Permit, and Equity Program applications for the business license and individual employee permits; jurisdiction cannabis permitting and compliance monitoring/tracking was integrated into the jurisdiction's existing permitting system; jurisdiction established equity program to provide application and license fee waivers, and technical assistance with local and state license applications; jurisdiction also provided equity technical assistance with zoning and building permits
- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront and non-storefront (delivery) retailers allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** 1 active retailer
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** 1 active retailer

## City of Covina

- **Phase I award:** \$175,000
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established September 2023; jurisdiction completed all steps related to establishing a retailer licensing program and has awarded all available licenses to the most qualified applicants (three total)
- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront and non-storefront (delivery) retailers allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** 2 active retailers; 1 in progress
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** N/A

## City of Hawthorne

- **Phase I award:** \$225,000 + \$90,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established March 2023; jurisdiction has reviewed retail applications and issued licenses
- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront and non-storefront (delivery) retailers allowed; 3 retailers include onsite consumption
- **Number of retailer licenses:** 4 active storefront retailers; non-storefront retailers approved



- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none; equity program development postponed due to elimination of Phase II funding

### City of Montclair

- **Phase I award:** \$150,000 + \$45,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** anticipate establishing program in early 2026; jurisdiction has contracted a firm to draft cannabis ordinances, develop the application process, perform legal review, address land use, review and rank applications, and create cost recovery and fiscal strategies; jurisdiction also conducted economic analysis and developed a GIS overlay district to support cannabis retail; jurisdiction worked with consultant to review and draft operations agreements for retail businesses
- **Status of cannabis retail:** prohibited; jurisdiction will contract a qualified consultant to vet and process all commercial cannabis applications once the City begins the formal application process
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none

### City of Riverside

- **Phase I award:** \$325,000 + \$150,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established; jurisdiction adopted a commercial cannabis business program enacted via city ordinances establishing permit, licensing, and zoning rules; jurisdiction formed multi-disciplinary cross-departmental team of city staff to implement retail permitting and procedures
- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront retailers allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** None; 7 permittees are currently moving through the licensing process; three applicants are expected to begin operating by the end of Q1 2026
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none

### City of Sand City

- **Phase I award:** \$100,000 + \$15,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established; jurisdiction adopted ordinance establishing permit requirements, adopted procedures and forms for accepting and reviewing applications, conducted outreach, and published retail license application forms on website; application acceptance is ongoing; jurisdiction has three staff in place to process



applications; jurisdiction will not be moving forward with equity program, but will provide technical assistance to applicants as needed

- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront retailers allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none

### City of West Sacramento

- **Phase I award:** \$175,000 + \$60,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established December 2024; jurisdiction codified municipal code outlining retail application terms, conditions, fees, and penalties; jurisdiction has published equity retail license applications and accepted applications for licensure; jurisdiction will be providing application and license fee waivers to equity businesses, in addition to other financial assistance and assistance with local and state licensing
- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront and non-storefront (delivery) retailers allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none; applications under review
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none; applications under review

### City of Winters

- **Phase I award:** \$100,000 + \$15,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program conditionally established; jurisdiction adopted ordinance establishing cannabis retail permitting; jurisdiction has developed draft application forms and procedures to review and process applications
- **Status of cannabis retail:** prohibited; jurisdiction Planning Commission approved draft ordinance to go before City Council January 2025
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none; jurisdiction anticipates accepting applications in Q1 2026
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none; jurisdiction anticipates accepting applications in Q1 2026

### City of Yreka

- **Phase I award:** \$100,000 + \$15,000
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established; jurisdiction held community outreach, education, and feedback events/surveys to inform ordinance development; jurisdiction adopted cannabis ordinance and is currently accepting applications for retail non-storefront cannabis permits



- **Status of cannabis retail:** non-storefront retail allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none; jurisdiction is currently accepting applications for licensure
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none; jurisdiction is currently accepting applications for licensure

### Los Angeles County

- **Phase I award:** \$325,000 + \$150,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** program established early 2025; jurisdiction led a multi-year, cross-departmental effort to create a comprehensive regulatory framework, including zoning and administrative ordinances, voter-approved cannabis business tax, and improvement of public health and fire safety standards; interdepartmental workgroups were formed to streamline retail application processing under a ministerial licensing model; to support social equity applicants, jurisdiction hosted a four-part legal education series covering leases, contracts, business formation, and IP protection
- **Status of cannabis retail:** prohibited; jurisdiction has developed draft social equity and cannabis retail license applications, along with GIS mapping for approved locations
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none

### Nevada County

- **Phase I award:** \$200,000 + \$75,000 equity funding
- **Progress of retailer licensing program:** jurisdiction conducted public outreach and stakeholder meetings to draft and pass an ordinance allowing two cannabis retail storefront businesses and two non-storefront retailers in commercial and industrial zoning districts; jurisdiction staff developed a selection committee and application materials; jurisdiction will begin accepting applications in early 2026; jurisdiction is currently setting the timeline and process for the application review period. Licensees are expected to be selected by June 30, 2026; once competitive selection process is completed, jurisdiction will determine if the applicant meets equity criteria; jurisdiction will provide technical assistance during application review process and expects to complete discretionary permitting process by the end of 2026
- **Status of cannabis retail:** storefront and non-storefront retailers allowed
- **Number of retailer licenses:** none
- **Number of equity retailer licenses:** none



# Appendix



## Exhibit 1

The number of state licenses issued, renewed, denied, suspended, and revoked by state license category

### Cultivation License Types

License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied App	Denied Renewal	Suspended	Revoked
Specialty Cottage Indoor	3	29	0	0	0	0
Specialty Cottage Mixed-Light Tier 1	1	45	0	0	1	0
Specialty Cottage Mixed-Light Tier 2	1	15	0	0	0	0
Specialty Cottage Outdoor	9	52	0	0	7	0
Specialty Indoor	19	288	1	0	8	1
Specialty Mixed-Light Tier 1	2	79	0	0	5	1
Specialty Mixed-Light Tier 2	3	28	2	0	3	0
Specialty Outdoor	21	212	2	0	11	2
Small Indoor	54	310	0	0	3	1
Small Mixed-Light Tier 1	22	499	9	0	32	2
Small Mixed-Light Tier 2	31	231	10	0	5	0
Small Outdoor	84	1,193	1	0	31	1
Medium Indoor	37	142	1	0	5	0
Medium Mixed-Light Tier 1	16	38	1	0	2	0
Medium Mixed-Light Tier 2	15	21	0	0	0	0
Medium Outdoor	131	625	2	0	10	3
Large Indoor	7	2	0	0	0	0
Large Mixed-Light Tier 1	2	2	0	0	0	0



License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied App	Denied Renewal	Suspended	Revoked
Large Mixed-Light Tier 2	2	2	0	0	0	0
Large Outdoor	24	43	0	0	0	0
Nursery	21	252	0	0	1	0
Processor	14	114	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>4,222</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>11</b>

### Manufacturing License Types

License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied App	Denied Renewal	Suspended	Revoked
Volatile Solvent Manufacturing (Type 7)	6	122	5	0	3	3
Non-Volatile Solvent Manufacturing or Mechanical Extraction (Type 6)	20	266	5	0	4	8
Infusion of Products (Type N)	10	82	0	0	2	1
Package and Label (Type P)	0	24	0	0	2	0
Shared-Use Facilities (Type S)	3	3	1	0	0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>



## Distribution License Types

License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied Apps	Denied Renewals	Suspended	Revoked
Distributor (Type 11)	78	795	17	0	22	15
Transport-Only Distributor (Type 13)	12	110	2	0	0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>905</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>

## Retail License Types

License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied Apps	Denied Renewals	Suspended	Revoked
Non-Storefront Retailer (Type 9)	27	243	19	0	8	17
Storefront Retailer (Type 10)	124	1,025	12	0	6	8
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>25</b>

## Additional Cannabis License Types

License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied Apps	Denied Renewals	Suspended	Revoked
Testing Laboratory (Type 8)	3	19	7	0	5	2
Microbusiness (Type 12)	38	293	8	0	16	10
Event Organizer	9	21	0	0	0	0
Temporary Event	19	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>2,506</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54</b>



## Total All License Types

License Type	Issued	Renewed	Denied Apps	Denied Renewals	Suspended	Revoked
All	849	7,225	97	0	192	79



## Exhibit 2

The average time for processing state license applications by state license category

### Cultivation License Types

License Type	Average Processing Time (Days)
Specialty Cottage Indoor	270
Specialty Cottage Mixed-Light Tier 1	294
Specialty Cottage Mixed-Light Tier 2	327
Specialty Cottage Outdoor	399
Specialty Indoor	282
Specialty Mixed-Light Tier 1	212
Specialty Mixed-Light Tier 2	266
Specialty Outdoor	238
Small Indoor	571
Small Mixed-Light Tier 1	305
Small Mixed-Light Tier 2	434
Small Outdoor	263
Medium Indoor	306
Medium Mixed-Light Tier 1	194
Medium Mixed-Light Tier 2	221
Medium Outdoor	303
Large Indoor	314
Large Mixed-Light Tier 1	330
Large Mixed-Light Tier 2	499
Large Outdoor	219
Nursery	421
Processor	319

### Manufacturing License Types

License Type	Average Processing Time (Days)
Volatile Solvent Manufacturing (Type 7)	179
Non-Volatile Solvent Manufacturing or Mechanical Extraction (Type 6)	509
Infusion of Products (Type N)	274
Package and Label (Type P)	N/A
Shared-Use Facilities (Type S)	140



## Distribution License Types

License Type	Average Processing Time (Days)
Distributor (Type 11)	259
Transport-Only Distributor (Type 13)	148

## Retail License Types

License Type	Average Processing Time (Days)
Non-Storefront Retailer (Type 9)	190
Storefront Retailer (Type 10)	174

## Additional License Types

License Type	Average Processing Time (Days)
Testing Laboratory (Type 8)	703
Microbusiness (Type 12)	206
Event Organizer	129
Temporary Cannabis Event	66



## Exhibit 3

### Number of Complaints by County

County	Complaints Received
Alameda County	322
Alpine County	1
Butte County	22
Calaveras County	15
Colusa County	10
Contra Costa County	220
Del Norte County	5
El Dorado County	14
Fresno County	80
Glenn County	1
Humboldt County	103
Imperial County	26
Inyo County	7
Kern County	161
Kings County	12
Lake County	50
Lassen County	2
Los Angeles County	11,604
Madera County	2
Marin County	19
Mariposa County	1
Mendocino County	69
Merced County	22
Mono County	2
Monterey County	35
Napa County	11
Nevada County	35
Orange County	290
Placer County	18
Riverside County	296
Sacramento County	313
San Benito County	5
San Bernardino County	292
San Diego County	217



<b>County</b>	<b>Complaints Received</b>
San Francisco	90
San Joaquin County	42
San Luis Obispo County	30
San Mateo County	20
Santa Barbara County	51
Santa Clara County	191
Santa Cruz County	47
Shasta County	27
Siskiyou County	17
Solano County	61
Sonoma County	68
Stanislaus County	94
Tehama County	10
Trinity County	33
Tulare County	47
Tuolumne County	18
Ventura County	46
Yolo County	17
Yuba County	4



## Exhibit 4

### Number of Complaints by City

City	Complaints Received
Acampo	3
Acton	1
Adelanto	55
Agoura Hills	2
Aguanga	1
Alameda	14
Alamo	1
Alderpoint	2
Alhambra	6
Aliso Viejo	2
Altadena	3
American Canyon	1
Anaheim	27
Angels Camp	1
Antioch	139
Anza	7
Apple Valley	10
Aptos	1
Arcadia	9
Arcata	26
Arden-Arcade	1
Arroyo Grande	1
Artesia	2
Arvin	5
Atascadero	2
Atwater	8
Auburn	2
Avalon	1
Azusa	4
Baker	1
Bakersfield	92
Baldwin Park	15
Banning	2
Barstow	15



City	Complaints Received
Beaumont	1
Bellflower	7
Benicia	4
Berkeley	11
Beverly Hills	263
Big Bar	1
Big Bear Lake	4
Big Sur	1
Biggs	1
Bishop	5
Bloomington	1
Blue Lake	1
Blythe	2
Boulder Creek	1
Brea	2
Brentwood	9
Buellton	12
Buena Park	3
Burbank	13
Cabazon	1
Calabasas	1
Calexico	21
California City	23
Calistoga	1
Camarillo	2
Campbell	1
Canoga Park	1
Capay	1
Carlsbad	4
Carpinteria	6
Carson	1
Caruthers	1
Castro Valley	1
Cathedral City	43
Ceres	14
Chatsworth	6
Chico	9



City	Complaints Received
Chino	1
Chino Hills	2
Chula Vista	6
Citrus Heights	8
City of Industry	5
Clayton	1
Clearlake	4
Cloverdale	1
Clovis	2
Coachella	12
Coalinga	5
Colfax	2
Colton	6
Colusa	7
Commerce	5
Compton	39
Concord	16
Corona	14
Costa Mesa	44
Cotati	3
Coulterville	1
Covelo	1
Covina	9
Crescent City	4
Crestline	2
Cudahy	2
Culver City	10
Daly City	5
Dana Point	3
Davis	9
Del Mar	3
Del Rey Oaks	2
Delano	1
Desert Hot Springs	37
Dixon	2
Douglas City	2
Downey	1



City	Complaints Received
Duarte	1
Dublin	1
Dunsmuir	1
El Cajon	9
El Centro	1
El Monte	14
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles)	5
El Segundo	257
El Sobrante	3
Elk Grove	8
Elverta	1
Emeryville	3
Encinitas	6
Encino	57
Escondido	8
Eureka	30
Exeter	1
Fairfax	7
Fairfield	21
Farmersville	7
Fontana	4
Fort Bragg	2
Fountain Valley	1
Fresno	58
Fullerton	33
Garberville	8
Garden Grove	6
Gardena	269
Georgetown	2
Gilroy	3
Glendale	20
Glendora	1
Gold River	1
Goleta	5
Granada Hills	2
Grand Terrace	1
Granite Bay	1



City	Complaints Received
Grass Valley	20
Greenfield	5
Greenwood	1
Groveland	6
Groveland-Big Oak Flat	1
Grover Beach	7
Gustine	1
Hacienda Heights	2
Half Moon Bay	1
Hanford	9
Harbor City	59
Hawthorne	3
Hayfork	3
Hayward	26
Hemet	5
Hercules	3
Hesperia	13
Highland	1
Hollister	4
Holtville	1
Huntington Beach	3
Huntington Park	4
Huron	1
Hyampom	1
Idyllwild	1
Indio	3
Inglewood	1039
Interlaken	1
Irvine	14
Isleton	11
Junction City	3
Jurupa Valley	6
King City	4
Kings Beach	1
La Crescenta	1
La Crescenta-Montrose	3
La Grange	1



City	Complaints Received
La Habra	17
La Jolla	1
La Mesa	21
La Puente	12
Lafayette	2
Laguna Hills	3
Laguna Niguel	6
Laguna Woods	3
Lake Elsinore	21
Lake Forest	3
Lake Los Angeles	1
Lakeport	10
Lakewood	4
Lancaster	34
Lawndale	2
Lemon Grove	4
Lemoore	2
Lennox	1
Lewiston	1
Lincoln	1
Littlerock	1
Livermore	2
Lockeford	1
Lodi	3
Lomita	257
Lompoc	12
Long Beach	132
Los Alamos	1
Los Angeles	5,334
Los Banos	1
Los Gatos	3
Los Molinos	1
Los Osos	1
Lower Lake	1
Lynwood	10
Mad River	1
Madera	1



City	Complaints Received
Malibu	6
Mammoth Lakes	2
Manchester	1
Manteca	5
Marina	1
Martinez	2
Marysville	2
Maywood	11
Mc Farland	1
Mendota	2
Menifee	5
Menlo Park	1
Merced	10
Middletown	1
Milpitas	2
Mission Hills	1
Mission Viejo	29
Modesto	51
Mokelumne Hill	1
Monrovia	15
Montague	5
Montclair	1
Montebello	12
Monterey	5
Monterey Park	10
Moorpark	1
Moreno Valley	13
Morgan Hill	3
Mount Shasta	1
Mountain View	1
Murrieta	2
Myers Flat	3
Napa	8
National City	1
Needles	42
Nevada City	8
Newark	1



City	Complaints Received
Newman	1
Newport Beach	1
North Hills	1
North Hollywood	11
Northridge	6
Norwalk	4
Novato	1
Oakdale	4
Oakhurst	1
Oakland	222
Oakley	2
Oceanside	7
Ojai	2
Ontario	5
Orange	5
Orangevale	1
Oroville	9
Other	489
Oxnard	5
Pacifica	1
Palm Desert	7
Palm Springs	13
Palmdale	16
Palo Alto	6
Palos Verdes Estates	1
Panorama City	2
Paradise	2
Paramount	1
Parlier	1
Pasadena	43
Patterson	5
Penn Valley	2
Perris	22
Petaluma	1
Phelan	1
Pico Rivera	2
Piedmont	1



City	Complaints Received
Pinole	1
Pismo Beach	1
Pittsburg	4
Placerville	2
Pleasant Hill	2
Pleasanton	1
Plumas Eureka	1
Point Arena	2
Pomona	21
Port Hueneme	11
Porterville	6
Potter Valley	1
Poway	4
Ramona	5
Rancho Cordova	12
Rancho Cucamonga	9
Rancho Mirage	1
Rancho Palos Verdes	254
Red Bluff	7
Redding	18
Redlands	10
Redondo Beach	252
Redwood City	4
Reedley	3
Reseda	1
Rialto	2
Richmond	20
Ridgecrest	3
Rio Dell	4
Rio Vista	8
Riverbank	4
Riverside	37
Rocklin	2
Rosamond	2
Rosemead	9
Roseville	8
Rowland Heights	1



City	Complaints Received
Ryde	1
Sacramento	255
Salinas	13
San Andreas	1
San Anselmo	2
San Bernardino	33
San Bruno	2
San Clemente	2
San Diego	108
San Fernando	8
San Francisco	89
San Gabriel	6
San Jacinto	21
San Jose	164
San Leandro	19
San Lorenzo	2
San Luis Obispo	10
San Marino	2
San Mateo	3
San Pablo	1
San Pedro	56
San Rafael	5
San Ramon	2
Sanger	1
Santa Ana	58
Santa Barbara	6
Santa Clara	4
Santa Clarita	9
Santa Cruz	33
Santa Fe Springs	2
Santa Maria	4
Santa Monica	13
Santa Rosa	42
Saratoga	1
Sausalito	2
Seaside	2
Sebastopol	7



City	Complaints Received
Selma	1
Shafter	1
Shasta Lake	8
Sherman Oaks	2
Shingle Springs	1
Simi Valley	4
Smartsville	3
Solvang	1
Sonoma	13
Sonora	6
South El Monte	2
South Gate	3
South Pasadena	1
South San Francisco	3
Spring Valley	1
Stanton	3
Stockton	18
Studio City	2
Suisun City	5
Sun Valley	4
Sunnyvale	1
Taft	2
Tehachapi	10
Tehama	1
Temecula	3
Temple City	2
Thousand Oaks	4
Tollhouse	1
Toro Canyon	1
Torrance	2301
Tracy	6
Tulare	10
Turlock	11
Tustin	3
Ukiah	17
Union City	3
Upland	7



City	Complaints Received
Vacaville	2
Vallejo	20
Valley Village	1
Van Nuys	15
Venice	12
Ventura	14
Vernon	3
Victorville	10
Visalia	8
Vista	16
Walnut	6
Walnut Creek	2
Wasco	1
Watsonville	4
Weaverville	1
Weed	4
West Covina	3
West Hills	2
West Hollywood	19
West Sacramento	2
Westlake Village	1
Westminster	19
Westport	1
Westwood	1
Wheatland	1
Whittier	286
Wildomar	2
Williams	3
Willits	27
Willow Creek	1
Wilmington	57
Winchester	2
Winnetka	1
Winterhaven	2
Woodlake	10
Woodland	4
Woodland Hills	6



City	Complaints Received
Yreka	6
Yucaipa	2
Yucca Valley	5



## Exhibit 5

### Local Jurisdiction Retail Access Grant Award amounts by local jurisdiction

#### Phase I Awards

Jurisdiction	Base Award Amount	Equity Bonus Funds	Total Phase I Award
City of Avenal*	\$100,000*	\$15,000*	\$115,000*
City of Bell Gardens	\$150,000	N/A	\$150,000
City of Buena Park*	\$225,000*	\$90,000*	\$315,000*
City of Calabasas*	\$125,000	N/A	\$125,000
City of Claremont*	\$150,000	\$45,000	\$195,000
City of Corcoran	\$125,000	\$30,000	\$155,000
City of Covina	\$175,000	N/A	\$175,000
City of Hawthorne	\$225,000	\$90,000	\$315,000
City of Huntington Beach*	\$325,000*	N/A*	\$325,000*
City of Lodi*	\$200,000*	\$75,000*	\$275,000*
City of Montclair	\$150,000	\$45,000	\$195,000
City of Riverside	\$325,000	\$150,000	\$475,000
City of Sand City	\$100,000	\$15,000	\$115,000
City of West Sacramento	\$175,000	\$60,000	\$235,000
City of Winters	\$100,000	\$15,000	\$115,000
City of Yreka	\$100,000	\$15,000	\$115,000
County of Los Angeles	\$325,000	\$150,000	\$475,000
County of Nevada	\$200,000	\$75,000	\$275,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$3,275,000</b>	<b>\$870,000</b>	<b>\$4,145,000</b>

\* While these jurisdictions were awarded Phase I grant funds, they withdrew from the grant program prior to award disbursement.

#### Phase II Awards

Jurisdiction	Phase II Award
City of Healdsburg	\$300,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>

