



June 8, 2026

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Event Horizon Technologies, Inc.  
dba Flow Kana  
Jaime Restrepo Mesa, DRP/Owner  
jaime@flowcannabis.com  
jaime@flowcannabis.co

Ashley Bargaquast, Esq.  
Alan Kagawa, Esq.  
Tully & Weiss Attorneys at Law  
ashley@tully-weiss.com  
alan@tully-weiss.com

Re: Event Horizon Technologies, Inc. dba Flow Kana  
Case No. DCC24-0011480-COMP  
Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as Final Decision

Dear Messrs. Mesa and Kagawa, and Ms. Bargaquast:

Pursuant to section 11415.60 of the Government Code, attached please find a copy of the Department of Cannabis Control's Order Adopting Stipulated Settlement and Order as the Final Decision in the above-referenced matter involving Event Horizon Technologies, Inc. dba Flow Kana.

The Department's Order and Final Decision will be effective today, June 8, 2026. Pursuant to this Final Decision and its stipulated settlement, Event Horizon Technologies, Inc. dba Flow Kana has waived any right to reconsideration or appeal in this matter and to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or first-class mail.

Sincerely,

Marc LeForestier  
General Counsel

Enclosure

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**BEFORE THE  
DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  
**EVENT HORIZON TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
DBA FLOW KANA;  
JAIME RESTREPO MESA, DRP/OWNER**  
  
1110 Bel Arbres Drive  
Redwood Valley, CA 95470  
  
Cannabis Manufacturing License  
No. DCC-10004061  
  
Respondent.

CASE NO. DCC24-0011480-COMP  
  
**ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED  
SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS  
FINAL DECISION**

Pursuant to Government Code section 11415.60, the Department of Cannabis Control hereby adopts the attached Stipulated Settlement for the Revocation of License and Order as its Final Decision in this matter.

This Order and Final Decision shall become effective on June 8, 2026.

IT IS SO ORDERED, June 8, 2026.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Marc LeForestier  
General Counsel  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL

1 ROB BONTA  
Attorney General of California  
2 GREGORY CRIBBS  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 MICHAEL DUONG  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 327666  
1300 I Street, Suite 125  
5 Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 210-6807  
6 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643  
E-mail: Michael.Duong@doj.ca.gov  
7 *Attorneys for Complainant*

8 **BEFORE THE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL**  
9 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10  
11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  
12 **EVENT HORIZON TECHNOLOGIES**  
**INC.; JAIME RESTREPO MESA,**  
13 **DRP/OWNER**  
14 **1110 Bel Arbres Drive**  
**Redwood Valley, California 95470**  
15  
16 **Cannabis - Manufacturing License No.**  
**DCC-10004061**  
17  
18 Respondent.

Case No. DCC24-0011480-COMP

**STIPULATED SETTLEMENT FOR THE  
REVOCATION OF LICENSE AND  
ORDER**

19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-  
20 entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) is the Deputy Director of the Compliance Division  
23 of the Department of Cannabis Control (Department). Complainant brought this action solely in  
24 her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State  
25 of California, by Michael Duong, Deputy Attorney General.

26 2. Event Horizon Technologies Inc. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by  
27 attorney Ashley Bargenquast, with Tully & Weiss Attorneys at Law, whose address is: 713 Main  
28 Street, Martinez, CA 94553. Respondent is acting in this proceeding through Jaime Restrepo

1 Mesa (Owner Restrepo) and he has been designated and authorized by Respondent to enter into  
2 this agreement on its behalf.

3 3. On or about January 21, 2020, the Department issued Cannabis - Manufacturing  
4 License No. DCC-10004061 to Respondent. The Cannabis Manufacturing License was in full  
5 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. DCC24-0011480-  
6 COMP, and expired on January 21, 2026, and has not been renewed.

7 **JURISDICTION**

8 4. Accusation No. DCC24-0011480-COMP was filed before the Department and is  
9 currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required  
10 documents were properly served on Respondent on June 5, 2025. Respondent timely filed its  
11 Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. DCC24-0011480-  
12 COMP is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

13 **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

14 5. Respondent, through its authorized representative Owner Restrepo Mesa, has  
15 carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in  
16 Accusation No. DCC24-0011480-COMP. Respondent, through its authorized representative  
17 Owner Restrepo Mesa, have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the  
18 effects of this Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and Order.

19 6. Respondent, through its authorized representative Owner Restrepo Mesa, are fully  
20 aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and  
21 allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them;  
22 the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of  
23 subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to  
24 reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the  
25 California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

26 7. Respondent, through its authorized representative Owner Restrepo Mesa, are fully  
27 aware of its legal rights to receive a copy of the Decision and Order via certified, registered, or  
28 first-class mail. Respondent agrees to receive a copy of the Decision and Order in this matter via

1 email at the following email addresses: [jaime@flowcannabis.com](mailto:jaime@flowcannabis.com); [alan@tully-weiss.com](mailto:alan@tully-weiss.com); and  
2 [ashley@tully-weiss.com](mailto:ashley@tully-weiss.com).

3 8. Respondent have voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waived and gives up each  
4 and every right set forth above.

5 **CULPABILITY**

6 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the factual allegations in Accusation No.  
7 DCC24-0011480-COMP, if proven at a hearing, constitutes cause for the revocation of its  
8 Cannabis Manufacturing License.

9 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of  
10 further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual  
11 basis for the factual allegations in Accusation No. DCC24-0011480-COMP, and that Respondent  
12 hereby gives up its right to contest those factual allegations and causes for denial.

13 11. Respondent understands that signing this stipulation enables the Department to issue  
14 an order accepting the revocation of Respondent's Cannabis - Manufacturing License No. DCC-  
15 10004061 without further process.

16 **CONTINGENCY**

17 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Department. Respondent  
18 understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Department may  
19 communicate directly with the Department regarding this stipulation and settlement, without  
20 notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent  
21 understands and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation  
22 prior to the time the Department considers and acts upon it. If the Department fails to adopt this  
23 stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and  
24 Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal  
25 action between the parties, and the Department shall not be disqualified from further action by  
26 having considered this matter.

27  
28





1 By FedEx or UPS:  
2 Department of Cannabis Control  
3 Attn: Cashiers  
4 2920 Kilgore Road  
5 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6157

6 6. Failure to complete the payments or comply with the above terms of this Order  
7 shall result in the denial of ownership interest and/or denial of any other license sought, as the  
8 Department deems appropriate. Failure to complete the payments or comply with the terms of this  
9 Order shall also result in enforcement of the Order as to either Respondent and/or Owner  
10 Restrepo Mesa in the Superior Court.

11 7. **SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION**. Respondent may submit an Application  
12 for a Cannabis Manufacturing License, which shall include a disclosure of the revocation of its  
13 Cannabis Manufacturing License No. DCC-10004061.

14 8. **REVIEW OF AN APPLICATION**. Upon submission of an Application for a  
15 Cannabis Manufacturing License, the Application will not be subject to denial based upon either  
16 the fact that Respondent's Cannabis Manufacturing License No. DCC-10004061 has been  
17 revoked in the three years immediately preceding the date an Application is filed or upon the fact  
18 that an Application is submitted prior to one year from the effective date of the Decision and  
19 Order.

20 \\\  
21 \\\  
22 \\\  
23 \\\  
24 \\\  
25 \\\  
26 \\\

1 **ACCEPTANCE**

2 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement for Revocation of License and have  
3 fully discussed it with my attorney, Ashley Bargaquest. I understand the stipulation and the  
4 effect it will have on my Cannabis - Manufacturing License No. DCC-10004061. I enter into this  
5 Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree  
6 to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Department of Cannabis Control.

7 DATED: 02/26/2026  
8 Jaime Restrepo Mesa  
Jaime Restrepo Mesa (Feb 26, 2026 14:59:46 EST)  
9 EVENT HORIZON TECHNOLOGIES INC.; JAIME  
10 RESTREPO MESA, AUTHORIZED  
REPRESENTATIVE  
*Respondent*

11 I have carefully read and fully discussed with Respondent the terms and conditions and  
12 other matters contained in this Stipulated Revocation of License and Order. I approve its form  
13 and content.

14 DATED: 02/26/2026  
15 Ashley Bargaquest  
16 Ashley Bargaquest  
Tully & Weiss Attorneys at Law  
*Attorney for Respondent*

17 **ENDORSEMENT**

18 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully  
19 submitted for consideration by the Department of Cannabis Control.

20  
21 DATED: 02/26/26 Respectfully submitted,  
22 ROB BONTA  
23 Attorney General of California  
24 GREGORY CRIBBS  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
25 *Michael Duong*  
26 MICHAEL DUONG  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Complainant*

**Exhibit A**

**Accusation No. DCC24-0011480-COMP**

1 ROB BONTA  
2 HARINDER K. KAPUR  
3 Senior Assistant Attorney General  
4 State Bar No. 198769  
5 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800  
6 San Diego, CA 92101  
7 Telephone: (619) 738-9407  
8 Facsimile: (916) 732-7920  
9 E-mail: Harinder.Kapur@doj.ca.gov  
10 *Attorneys for Complainant*

11 **BEFORE THE**  
12 **DEPARTMENT OF CANNABIS CONTROL**  
13 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

14 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. DCC24-0011480-COMP

15 **EVENT HORIZON TECHNOLOGIES,**  
16 **INC; DAVID ROSENTHAL, OWNER;**  
17 **JUAN MAROSO MARQUEZ, OWNER;**  
18 **JOSEPH MISHKIN, OWNER; JAIME**  
19 **RESTREPO MESA, OWNER; KEVIN**  
20 **ALBERT, OWNER**  
21 **1110 Bel Arbres Drive**  
22 **Redwood Valley, California 95470**

**ACCUSATION**

23 **Cannabis - Manufacturing License**  
24 **No. DCC-10004061**

25 Respondent.

26 **PARTIES**

27 1. Evelyn Schaeffer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity  
28 as the Deputy Director of the Compliance Division of the Department of Cannabis Control  
(Department).

2. On or about January 21, 2020, the Department issued Cannabis - Manufacturing  
License Number CDPH<sup>1</sup>-10004061 to Event Horizon Technologies, Inc. (Respondent), with  
David Rosenthal, Owner; Juan Maroso Marquez, Owner; Joseph Mishkin, Owner; Jaime

<sup>1</sup> As of May 12, 2025, the DCC relabeled cannabis manufacturing licenses beginning with the prefix CDPH to the prefix DCC. <https://mailchi.mp/dfd224b35421/manufacturing-license-relabeling-coming-in-17008651?e=2f9fff58ef>

1 Restrepo Mesa, Owner; and Kevin Albert, Owner. The Cannabis - Manufacturing License will  
2 expire on January 21, 2026, unless renewed.

3 **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

4 4. The Department issued an Emergency Decision and Order (EDO), pursuant to  
5 California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17815 that was served on Respondent on May 29,  
6 2025, and was effective the same day at 5:00 p.m. The EDO suspended Respondent’s license and  
7 ordered Respondent to cease all commercial cannabis activity. The time to initiate adjudicative  
8 proceedings is within 10 days after issuance of the EDO, or by June 8, 2025.

9 **JURISDICTION**

10 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department (Director), under  
11 the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions  
12 Code unless otherwise indicated.

13 4. Section 26010 of the Code states:

14 There is in the Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency, the  
15 Department of Cannabis Control under the supervision and control of a director. The  
16 director shall administer and enforce the provisions of this division related to the  
17 department.

18 5. Section 26010.5, subdivision (d), of the Code states:

19 The department has the power, duty, purpose, responsibility, and jurisdiction to  
20 regulate commercial cannabis activity as provided in this division.

21 6. Section 26012, subdivision (a), of the Code states:

22 It being a matter of statewide concern, except as otherwise authorized in this  
23 division, the department shall have the sole authority to create, issue, deny, renew,  
24 discipline, condition, suspend, or revoke licenses for commercial cannabis activity.

25 7. Section 26013, subdivision (a), of the Code states:

26 The department shall make and prescribe reasonable rules and regulations as  
27 may be necessary to implement, administer, and enforce its duties under this division  
28 in accordance with Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of  
29 Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code. Those rules and regulations shall be  
30 consistent with the purposes and intent of the Control, Regulate and Tax Adult Use of  
31 Marijuana Act.

1 8. Section 26031 of the Code states:

2 (a) The department may suspend, revoke, place on probation with terms and  
3 conditions, or otherwise discipline licenses issued by the department and fine a  
4 licensee, after proper notice and hearing to the licensee, except as provided in Section  
5 26031.01, if the licensee is found to have committed any of the acts or omissions  
6 constituting grounds for disciplinary action. The disciplinary proceedings under this  
chapter shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section  
11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the director  
shall have all the powers granted therein.

7 ...

8 (c) The department may take disciplinary action against a licensee for any  
9 violation of this division when the violation was committed by the licensee's officers,  
directors, owners, agents, or employees while acting on behalf of the licensee or  
engaged in commercial cannabis activity.

10 (d) The suspension or expiration of a license issued by the department, or its  
11 suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the department or by order of a  
12 court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the department, shall not,  
13 during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated,  
14 deprive the department of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary  
proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order  
suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the  
licensee on any such ground.

15 9. Section 26034 of the Code states:

16 All accusations against licensees shall be filed by the department within five  
17 years after the performance of the act or omission alleged as the ground for  
18 disciplinary action; provided, however, that the foregoing provision shall not  
19 constitute a defense to an accusation alleging fraud or misrepresentation as a ground  
for disciplinary action. The cause for disciplinary action in that case shall not be  
deemed to have accrued until discovery, by the department, of the facts constituting  
the fraud or misrepresentation, and, in that case, the accusation shall be filed within  
five years after that discovery.

20 **STATUTORY PROVISIONS**

21 10. Section 26030 of the Code states:

22 Grounds for disciplinary action include, but are not limited to, all of the  
23 following:

24 (a) Failure to comply with the provisions of this division or any rule or  
regulation adopted pursuant to this division.

25 ...

26 (c) Any other grounds contained in regulations adopted by the department  
27 pursuant to this division....

**REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

11. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15048.5, subdivision (c), states:

(c) For all cannabis and cannabis products held in a container, the package tag shall be affixed to the container holding the cannabis or cannabis products. If cannabis or cannabis products are held in multiple containers, the package tag shall be affixed to one of the containers and the other containers shall be labeled with the applicable UID number. Each unit within the container shall be labeled with the applicable UID number. All containers with the same UID number shall be placed contiguous to one another to facilitate identification by the Department.

12. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15049, states:

(a) All cannabis and cannabis products on the licensed premises shall be assigned a plant or package tag, as applicable, except for harvested plants that are being dried, cured, graded, or trimmed, as specified in this division, and recorded in the track and trace system.

(b) Each of the following activities shall be recorded in the track and trace system within 24 hours of occurrence:

(1) Receipt of cannabis or cannabis products.

(2) Rejection of transferred cannabis or cannabis products.

(3) Manufacturing of cannabis or cannabis products.

(4) Use of cannabis or cannabis product for internal quality control testing or product research and development.

(5) Destruction or disposal of cannabis or cannabis products.

(6) Packaging or repackaging of cannabis or cannabis products, except that cultivation licensees shall comply with section 15049.1(b)(5).

(7) Laboratory testing, including testing results.

(8) Sale or donation of cannabis or cannabis products.

(c) The following information shall be recorded in the track and trace system for each activity entered pursuant to subsection (b):

(1) The type of cannabis or cannabis products.

(2) The weight, volume, or count of the cannabis or cannabis products.

(3) The date of activity.

(4) The UID assigned to the cannabis or cannabis products.

(5) The brand name of the cannabis goods.

1 (6) If cannabis or cannabis products are being destroyed or disposed of, the  
2 licensee shall record the following information in the notes section:

3 (A) The name of the employee performing the destruction or disposal;

4 (B) The reason for destruction or disposal; and

5 (C) The method of disposal.

6 (d) If a package adjustment is used to adjust the quantity of cannabis or  
7 cannabis products in the track and trace system, the licensee shall include a  
8 description explaining the reason for adjustment.

9 (e) If a licensee rejects a partial shipment of cannabis goods pursuant to section  
10 15052.1(b), the licensee shall record the partial rejection in the track and trace system.

11 13. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17202.1, states:

12 (a) A licensed manufacturer that uses a volatile solvent, a flammable liquid, or a  
13 solvent that creates an asphyxiant gas shall ensure that the solvent is used in  
14 accordance with the requirements of:

15 ...

16 (4) All fire, safety, and building code requirements related to the processing,  
17 handling, and storage of the applicable solvent or gas....

18 14. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17206.1, states in part:

19 (a) The closed-loop system shall be commercially manufactured and bear a  
20 permanently affixed and visible serial number.

21 (b) After installation and before use of a closed-loop system, the licensed  
22 manufacturer shall have the closed-loop system certified by a California-licensed  
23 engineer that the system was commercially manufactured, safe for use with the  
24 intended solvent, and built and installed to codes of recognized and generally  
25 accepted good engineering practices. The certification document shall contain:

26 (1) The name, signature and stamp of the California-licensed professional  
27 engineer;

28 (2) The serial number of the extraction unit being certified;

(3) A list of the solvent(s) deemed safe for use with the equipment; and

(4) The address of the premises where the extraction unit was certified.

...

///

///

///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

15. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17208, states:

(a) A licensed manufacturer shall establish and implement a quality control program to ensure that cannabis products are not adulterated or misbranded. The quality control program shall describe how the licensee will comply with the following:

(1) Grounds, building, and manufacturing premises standards, as specified in section 17209;

(2) Equipment and utensil requirements, as specified in section 17210;

(3) Personnel procedures, as specified in section 17211;

(4) Cannabis product component procedures, as specified in section 17212;  
and

(5) Manufacturing processes and procedures, as specified in section 17213.

(b) The quality control program shall be under the supervision of one or more qualified individuals assigned responsibility for this function.

(c) For purposes of this article, for those requirements that are contained in the Health and Safety Code, use of the term “food” shall include cannabis, cannabis products, components, and contact surfaces.

16. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17214, subdivision (a), states:

(a) A licensed manufacturer shall establish and implement a written product quality plan for each type of product manufactured at the premises. The product quality plan shall address the hazards associated with the premises or the manufacturing process that, if not properly mitigated, may cause the product to be adulterated or misbranded, or may cause the product to fail laboratory testing or quality assurance review.

17. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17218, subdivision (a), states:

(a) A licensed manufacturer shall establish and implement a written inventory control plan capable of tracking the location and disposition of all cannabis and cannabis products at the licensed premises.

18. Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, section 17801, states:

(a) The Department may issue a Notice to Comply to a licensee for violation(s) of the Act or this division discovered during an investigation or audit or observed during an inspection.

(b) The Notice to Comply shall be in writing and describe the nature and facts of each violation, including a reference to the statute or regulation violated, and may indicate the manner in which the licensee must correct the violation(s) to achieve compliance.

1 (c) The Department may serve the Notice to Comply personally, by email, or by  
2 mail to the licensee or an employee, agent, or person delegated by the licensee to  
accept notice.

3 (d) The licensee shall sign and return the Notice to Comply and describe how  
4 compliance was achieved within 30 calendar days after the date of personal service or  
5 the date of emailing or mailing of the notice or a different date specified by the  
Department. The Department may also require the licensee to provide a plan for  
review and approval by the Department on a case-by-case basis.

6 (e) Failure to correct the violation(s) in the Notice to Comply may result in  
7 disciplinary action.

### 8 **COST RECOVERY**

9 19. Section 26031.1 of the Code states:

10 (a) Except as otherwise provided by law, in an order issued in resolution of a  
11 disciplinary proceeding before the department, the administrative law judge, upon  
12 request, may direct a licensee found to have committed a violation to pay a sum not to  
exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

13 (b) A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where  
14 actual costs are not available, signed by the department or its designated  
representative shall be prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and  
15 prosecution of the case. The costs shall include the amount of investigative and  
enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges  
imposed by the Attorney General.

16 (c) The administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount  
17 of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case when requested  
pursuant to subdivision (a). The finding of the administrative law judge with regard to  
18 costs shall not be reviewable by the department to increase the cost award. The  
department may reduce or eliminate the cost award, or remand to the administrative  
19 law judge if the proposed decision fails to make a finding on costs requested pursuant  
to subdivision (a).

20 (d) If an order for recovery of costs is made and timely payment is not made as  
21 directed in the department's decision, the department may enforce the order for  
repayment in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to  
22 any other rights the department may have as to any licensee to pay costs.

23 (e) In any action for recovery of costs, proof of the department's decision shall  
be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.

24 (f)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the department shall not renew or  
25 reinstate the license of any licensee who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered  
under this section.

26 (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the department may, in its discretion,  
27 conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license of any  
licensee who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement  
28 with the department to reimburse the department within that one-year period for the  
unpaid costs.

1 (g) All costs recovered under this section shall be considered a reimbursement  
2 for costs incurred and shall be deposited into the Cannabis Control Fund to be  
available upon appropriation by the Legislature.

3 (h) Nothing in this section shall preclude the department from including the  
4 recovery of the costs of investigation and enforcement of a case in any stipulated  
settlement.

5 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

6 20. On or about October 7, 2024, the Department issued Respondent a Notice to Comply  
7 that identified a number of violations, including: (1) failing to have its closed-loop extraction  
8 system certified by a California-licensed engineer after installation and before use; (2) failing to  
9 ensure the volatile extraction system bore a permanently affixed and visible serial number; (3)  
10 failing to have an adequate video surveillance system; (4) failing to establish and implement a  
11 written inventory control plan; (5) failing to establish and implement a quality control program;  
12 and (6) failing to establish and implement a written product quality plan for each type of product  
13 manufactured at the premises. Respondent was required to sign and return the Notice to Comply  
14 with a written plan to the Department by November 8, 2024, but failed to do so.

15 21. On or about April 2, 2025, Department Environmental Scientists (ES) David  
16 Aumentado and Monica Bueno planned to conduct a regulatory compliance inspection of  
17 Respondent's licensed premises. ES Bueno spoke with M.F., Respondent's representative, who  
18 informed her that the building was empty and there was no one working at the premises any  
19 longer. M.F. arranged for the property groundskeeper to open the doors to the building, however,  
20 M.F. stated that no one was available to provide access to the secured storage room and video  
21 surveillance recording storage room.

22 22. When Department staff arrived at Respondent's licensed premises to conduct the  
23 regulatory compliance inspection they saw an individual leave the building, close and lock the  
24 doors, and ride away on a bicycle before the individual could be contacted. Upon entering the  
25 building, they inspected the cannabis intake room, labeled as "A" and "B" on the premises  
26 diagram, and observed over seventy-five black contractor bags full of cannabis biomass with no  
27 affixed unique identifier (UID) tags or labels with a UID number and therefore the cannabis  
28 biomass could not be traced to Respondent's cannabis inventory required to be reported in

1 Respondent’s California Cannabis Track and Trace (CCTT) account. Department staff also saw  
2 paper documentation demonstrating that many extraction runs had been conducted since  
3 September 23, 2024. The documentation included multiple sheets labeled “Column Packing  
4 Logs” that showed: over one hundred written entries, indicating numerous instances of loading,  
5 extracting, unloading of cannabis biomass, between March 21, 2025 and April 1, 2025, none of  
6 which had been entered in Respondent’s CCTT account.

7 23. Department staff located documents logging the weight of cannabis material loaded,  
8 extracted, and unloaded for each of the individual columns run through the extraction equipment  
9 for the cannabis biomass. None of the extraction activity corresponded to activity reported in  
10 Respondent’s CCTT account.

11 24. In the room labeled as “F: Extraction Using CO2” on the premises diagram, there  
12 were three (3) Advanced Extraction C1D1 labs.<sup>2</sup> The three (3) C1D1 labs were present during  
13 the Department’s previous inspection on September 4, 2024, but the C1D1 containers were not  
14 depicted on the premises diagram. C1D1 booth numbers 1 and 2 were for extraction and booth  
15 number 3 was used for off gassing. The fan in C1D1 booth number 1 was on, and the equipment  
16 appeared to be installed and ready for use. There was a 5-gallon bucket of crude oil that appeared  
17 to be off-gassing. The fan in C1D1 booth number 2 was on, and the equipment appeared to be  
18 installed and ready for use, but there was no sign of extraction activity. The fan in C1D1 booth  
19 number 3 was on and there were several closed extraction columns which looked to be off  
20 gassing.

21 25. In the Refinement room, labeled as “N” on the premises diagram there was a grinding  
22 tent, vacuum ovens, an Across International SST Reactor unit used for further refinement of  
23 cannabis oil, and a CannaBeast distillation system, among other equipment. Below the  
24 distillation equipment, there was a bucket with what appeared to be the waste product or extract  
25 from the distillation process. The room also contained a small refrigerator with jars of terpenes  
26 and other cannabis product inside and two stainless-steel pots containing cannabis product in two

---

27 <sup>2</sup> Extraction labs are commercially manufactured rooms built to meet specific engineering  
28 requirements for safety and compliance when using volatile solvents with closed loop system  
extraction equipment.

1 ovens. One oven was warm to the touch and the second contained a large stainless-steel pot filled  
2 with material being processed, indicating recent activity in this area. There was also unlabeled  
3 glass and mason jars on tables with cannabis product in them.

4 26. In the storage freezer, several racks of mason jars with cannabis product in them were  
5 found. The mason jars were not labeled, were not reported in Respondent's CCTT account,  
6 and/or were listed in CCTT as having a zero quantity.

7 27. In the large warehouse there were several barrels and containers containing cannabis  
8 product, and a tarp loaded with what appeared to be spent biomass. The warehouse also had  
9 stored solvents in barrels and tanks, including pentane, butane, methanol, ethanol denatured with  
10 methanol, heat transfer fluid, and UN1987 Alcohol NOS (Not Otherwise Specified). Some  
11 barrels appeared to be full, and others appeared partially full or empty. Due to the quantity of  
12 solvents and vapors at the licensed premises, Department staff contacted the Redwood Valley-  
13 Calpella Fire Department, who inspected the solvents and vapors and found one container of  
14 solvent to be leaking and contacted the Mendocino County Department of Public Health to  
15 further inspect the solvents at the premises.

16 28. The Department initiated an embargo of all cannabis product that either did not have  
17 identifiable and affixed UIDs or had discrepancies with data reported in CCTT. The embargoed  
18 products consisted of 43 jars of cannabis product and approximately 4,000 pounds of cannabis  
19 biomass. A review of UIDs in CCTT showed that the cannabis products with affixed UID  
20 numbers did not trace back to Respondent's CCTT account, were reported as having a zero  
21 quantity in the CCTT account or were reported as being in a different licensee's CCTT account.

22 29. On April 3, 2025, the Mendocino County Environmental Health Department issued a  
23 Notice to Comply and Notice of Violation to Respondent due to issues related to the storage of  
24 hazardous materials at the licensed premises and ordered Respondent to correct the identified  
25 violations within 30 days. On June 3, 2025, the Mendocino County Environmental Health  
26 Department notified Department staff that it had not yet received documentation demonstrating  
27 correction of the identified violations.

28 ///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Certification of Closed-Loop Systems)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17206.1, subdivisions (a) and (b) for failing to comply with the requirements for using a closed-loop system as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 29, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

**SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(General Requirements: Extraction and Post-Extraction Processing)

31. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17202.1, subdivision (a)(4) for failing to comply with fire, safety, and building code requirements as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 29, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

**THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Track and Trace Reporting)

32. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15049, subdivisions (a) – (e), for failing to comply with CCTT requirements as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 29, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

**FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Use of Package Tags)

33. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030, subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 15048.5, subdivision (c) for failure to affix package tags, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 20 through 29, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

///

1 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 (Inventory Control – Cannabis and Cannabis Products)

3 34. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030,  
4 subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17218, subdivision  
5 (a), for failure to establish and implement a written inventory control plan as more particularly  
6 alleged in paragraph 20, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if  
7 fully set forth herein.

8 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

9 (Quality Control Program)

10 35. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030,  
11 subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17208, for failure to  
12 establish and implement a quality control program as more particularly alleged in paragraph 20,  
13 above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

14 **SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

15 (Product Quality Plan)

16 36. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030,  
17 subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17214, subdivision  
18 (a), for failure to implement a written product quality plan as more particularly alleged in  
19 paragraph 20, above, which is hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth  
20 herein.

21 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

22 (Notice to Comply)

23 37. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under Code section 26030,  
24 subdivisions (a) and (c), and California Code of Regulations, title 4, section 17801, for failure to  
25 comply with the Department’s Notice to Comply as more particularly alleged in paragraph 20,  
26 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **PRAYER**

2 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,  
3 and that the following the hearing, the Director of the Department issue a decision:

4 1. Revoking or suspending outright or suspending with terms and conditions or fining or  
5 any combination thereof, the Cannabis - Manufacturing License Number DCC-10004061, issued  
6 to Respondent Event Horizon Technologies, Inc., with David Rosenthal, Owner; Juan Maroso  
7 Marquez, Owner; Joseph Mishkin, Owner; Jaime Restrepo Mesa, Owner; Kevin Albert, Owner;

8 2. Ordering Respondent Event Horizon Technologies, Inc., with David Rosenthal,  
9 Owner; Juan Maroso Marquez, Owner; Joseph Mishkin, Owner; Jaime Restrepo Mesa, Owner;  
10 Kevin Albert, Owner to pay the Department of Cannabis Control the reasonable costs of the  
11 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section  
12 26031.1;

13 3. Ordering the destruction of cannabis and cannabis goods in the possession of  
14 Respondent Event Horizon Technologies, Inc., with David Rosenthal, Owner; Juan Maroso  
15 Marquez, Owner; Joseph Mishkin, Owner; Jaime Restrepo Mesa, Owner; Kevin Albert, Owner at  
16 Respondent's expense, if revocation of Cannabis Manufacturing License Number  
17 DCC-10004061-LIC is ordered, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 4, section  
18 15024.1, subdivision (a); and,

19 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.  
20

21  
22 DATED: June 5, 2025

*Evelyn Schaeffer*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
EVELYN SCHAEFFER  
Deputy Director of the Compliance  
Division  
Department of Cannabis Control  
State of California  
*Complainant*

23  
24  
25  
26  
27 SD2025801774  
85147200.docx

## PROOF OF SERVICE

Case Name: In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Event Horizon Technologies, Inc.  
dba Flow Kana  
DCC Case No. DCC24-0011480-COMP  
License No. DCC-10004061, Manufacturing

I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is Department of Cannabis Control, 2920 Kilgore Road, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670. On June 8, 2026, I served the within documents:

### ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND ORDER AS FINAL DECISION

- VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION. Pursuant to CCP § 1010.6, I caused the document(s) to be sent to the person(s) at the Email address(es) listed below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
- VIA CERTIFIED MAIL by placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service to the individual(s) or entity(ies) listed below.
  - Service via certified mail to be completed upon the following business day.

Event Horizon Technologies, Inc.  
dba Flow Kana  
Jaime Restrepo Mesa, DRP/Owner  
jaime@flowcannabis.com  
jaime@flowcannabis.co

Evelyn Schaeffer (email only)  
Deputy Director  
Compliance Division  
Department of Cannabis Control  
Evelyn.Schaeffer@cannabis.ca.gov


Ashley Bargaquast, Esq.  
Alan Kagawa, Esq.  
Tully & Weiss Attorneys at Law  
ashley@tully-weiss.com  
alan@tully-weiss.com

Michael Duong (email only)  
Deputy Attorney General  
Cannabis Control Section  
Office of Attorney General  
Michael.Duong@doj.ca.gov

I am familiar with the Department's business practices for collecting and transmitting mail through the United States Postal Service. In accordance with those practices, correspondence placed in the Department's internal mail collection system is, in the ordinary course of business, deposited in the United States Postal Service, with postage paid, on the same day.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, and the United States of America, that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 8, 2026, at Rancho Cordova, California.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Christina C. Ubaldo